## For the Northern District of California

27

28

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	
10	VIOLET R. MYERS-ARMSTRONG, No. C 08-04741 WHA
11	Plaintiff,
12	v. ORDER DISMISSING CASE
13	ACTAVIS TOTOWA, LLC, et al.,
14	Defendants.
15	
16	Defendants' motion for judgment on the pleadings was granted in part and denied in part
17	in an order dated April 22, 2009 (Dkt. 43). In that order, the action was dismissed because
18	plaintiff failed to state a claim. The order, however, provided plaintiff with fourteen calendar
19	days to file a motion seeking leave to amend the complaint. Although the fourteen-day deadline
20	has passed, no such motion has been filed. Accordingly, this action will be dismissed without
21	further leave to amend, the file will be closed, and judgment shall be entered.
22	
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.
24	my Ahre
25	Dated: May 13, 2009  WILLIAM ALSUP
26	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE